Before the app was created, surgical patients of Jackson Memorial Hospital (JMH) needed preoperative worksheets filled out and scanned to an operating room scheduler. JMH Clinic Buddy digitizes and centralizes this process while providing a digital database for CPT and ICD codes at the user's fingertips, removing the need to search codes manually (Fig. 2). This reduces the overall time it takes to fill out each form by 1 to 2 minutes and increases workflow efficiency of the outpatient clinic. The app also provides users immediate and centralized access to educational and clinical materials such as a plastic surgery photographic standard, Botox administration guide, and breast implant size guides. The app has the ability to function as a research tool because it automatically generates a deidentified database of patients that can be used for future quality improvement projects.

Whereas some EMR systems have similar features related to digitizing surgical booking, our app is EMR agnostic. The effects of using JMH Clinic Buddy have not yet been quantified but the tool is being used routinely by physicians and staff at JMH and University of Miami affiliated hospitals. We hope the app will increase patient satisfaction by improving patient interactions and decreasing wait times and booking errors. Future goals are to quantify the effects of using the app to better understand how technology can be used to better the field of plastic surgery both in and out of the operating room.

DOI: 10.1097/PRS.0000000000010027

Sinan K. Jabori, MD

Alexander R. Gibstein, BA

Division of Plastic Surgery University of Miami Miller School of Medicine Miami, FL

Prakash Mathew, MD, MBA

Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine Philadelphia, PA

Devinder Singh, MD

Division of Plastic Surgery University of Miami Miller School of Medicine Miami, FL

Correspondence to Dr. Jabori Division of Plastic Surgery University of Miami Miller School of Medicine 100 NW 6th Avenue, No. 2110 Miami, FL 33136 sinanjabori@med.maimi.edu

DISCLOSURE

The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.

REFERENCES

1. Ventola CL. Mobile devices and apps for health care professionals: uses and benefits. *P T.* 2014;39:356–364.

- Mosa AS, Yoo I, Sheets L. A systematic review of healthcare applications for smartphones. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2012;12:67.
- 3. Moodley A, Mangino JE, Goff DA. Review of infectious diseases applications for iPhone/iPad and Android: from pocket to patient. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013;57:1145–1154.
- 4. Mickan S, Tilson JK, Atherton H, Roberts NW, Heneghan C. Evidence of effectiveness of health care professionals using handheld computers: a scoping review of systematic reviews. *J Med Internet Res.* 2013;15:e212.
- Wallace S, Clark M, White J. 'It's on my iPhone': attitudes to the use of mobile computing devices in medical education, a mixed-methods study. *BMJ Open* 2012;2:e001099.

Five Hazardous Attitudes in the Operating Room: The Role of Aviation Culture and Learning to Fly Safe

viation and surgery share many similarities, particularly the need to perform complex tasks with the risk of fatal consequences. To avoid these complications, the field of surgery has adopted many measures initially implemented within aviation, such as checklists. In addition to these interventions, which have demonstrated benefits to perioperative safety, a surgeon's attitude is critical for a successful operative outcome. In 1984, the Federal Aviation Administration identified and subsequently validated five hazardous attitudes that can interfere with the ability to make decisions: antiauthority, machismo, impulsivity, invulnerability, and resignation (Table 1). While pilots are now routinely educated on recognizing and addressing these attitudes (called "antidotes"), no such instruction is provided to surgeons. This article highlights the importance of educating surgeons on hazardous attitudes and emphasizes that a validated measurement scale for surgeons should be developed.

Despite limited literature describing the prevalence of hazardous attitudes in surgery, several studies indicate that they are not uncommon. Bruinsma et al.² demonstrated a high level of hazardous attitudes among orthopedic surgeons, with 38% of respondents noted to have dangerous levels of at least one of the attitudes, the most reported being machismo (28%).

Hazardous attitudes are concerning because they may contribute to poor surgeon judgment, particularly in a stressful or emergent situation, which could compromise patient outcomes. In a study by Kadzielski et al.,³ higher machismo levels within surgeons were associated with a significant increase in readmission and reoperation rates. No study describing whether hazardous attitudes can be corrected in surgeons has been published, but the airline industry has demonstrated that training to recognize hazardous attitudes, as well as their antidotes, has led to a reduction of in-flight errors by up to 50%.⁴

Like pilots, surgeons can be trained to identify hazardous attitudes and their antidotes (Table 2). By incorporating this education early in a surgical career, surgeons can recognize their hazardous attitudes and develop strategies to counteract them.

One of the challenges in investigating these attitudes is the lack of a validated questionnaire for

Table 1. Definitions of the Hazardous Attitudes^a

Hazardous Attitude	Definition	
Antiauthority	Believing that rules, regulations, or procedures do not apply to oneself	
Impulsivity	Doing something immediately without consideration of the situation or consequences	
Invulnerability	Thinking that accidents happen to others but never to oneself and not considering the risks of one's own actions	
Machismo	Trying to impress others, which can result in taking unnecessary risks	
Resignation	Lacking the confidence that what one does makes a difference in what will happen	

^aAdapted from Nuñez B, López C, Velazquez J, Mora OA, Román K. Hazardous attitudes in US Part 121 airline accidents. 20th International Symposium on Aviation Psychology. 2019;37–42. Available at: https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/isap_2019/7.

Table 2. Examples of Hazardous Attitudes and Antidotes in a Surgical Setting^a

Hazardous Attitude	Example	Antidote
Antiauthority	"I don't need to participate in the preinduction tim out. It's a waste of time."	re "Time outs have demonstrated a reduction in patient complications and are implemented for a reason."
Impulsivity	"This is an emergency, and I don't have time."	"I understand this is an emergency, but I must have an operative plan to act efficiently."
Invulnerability	"I've never caused a facial nerve injury, so I'm sure that is not it."	"Every person's anatomy is different and just because I haven't injured a nerve before doesn't mean I couldn't injure it now."
Machismo	"I've never performed this operation before, but I'm "I should consider a different technique I am a great surgeon, so why not?" more comfortable with."	
Resignation	"The patient's likely going to have a bad outcome anyway. I don't even know what I'm doing here."	"My involvement is helping the patient, even if it's only a small improvement."

^aAdapted from Nuñez B, López C, Velazquez J, Mora OA, Román K. Hazardous attitudes in US Part 121 airline accidents. 20th International Symposium on Aviation Psychology. 2019;37–42. Available at: https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/isap_2019/7.

surgeons. The studies mentioned above used a modified surgeon Hazardous Attitude Scale, but this may have limitations in its generalizability. Furthermore, given that specific patient complication rates are rare and many times multifactorial, identifying hazardous attitudes as a primary contributing factor is difficult. However, given the implications of these attitudes in aviation, it would be prudent to investigate these principles as they apply to surgery. If these attitudes are prevalent at dangerous levels in the operating room, addressing and increasing training around them could significantly improve patient safety.

In conclusion, as is the case in aviation, surgical complications can have disastrous consequences. Education on hazardous attitudes in surgical training should be implemented given the benefits demonstrated in aviation. Developing a validated tool to measure hazardous attitudes among surgeons could allow for an improved understanding of the effects on patient outcomes and safety.

DOI: 10.1097/PRS.0000000000010028

Anna E. Garbuzov, BS University of Queensland-Ochsner Clinical School New Orleans, LA

Ellen C. Shaffrey, MD

Samuel O. Poore, MD, PhD

University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health Madison, WI Correspondence to Dr. Poore
Division of Plastic Surgery
University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and
Public Health
600 Highland Avenue, CSC G5/347
Madison, WI 53792
poore@surgery.wisc.edu
Instagram: @docpoore
Twitter: @drsamuelpoore

The first two authors contributed equally to this article.

DISCLOSURE

The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.

REFERENCES

- 1. Holt RW, Boehm-Davis DA, Fitzgerald KA, Matyuf MM, Baughman WA, Littman DC. Behavioral validation of a hazardous thought pattern instrument. *Proceedings of the Human Factors 35th Annual Meeting* 1991;35:77–81.
- Bruinsma WE, Becker SJE, Guitton TG, Kadzielski J, Ring D. How prevalent are hazardous attitudes among orthopaedic surgeons? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2015;473:1582–1589.
- 3. Kadzielski J, McCormick F, Herndon JH, Rubash H, Ring D. Surgeons' attitudes are associated with reoperation and readmission rates. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2015;473:1544–1551.
- Furedy MD. Gender differences and their relation to hazardous attitudes in pilot training. Coll Aviat Rev. 2019;37:73–89.
- Nuñez B, López C, Velazquez J, Mora OA, Román K. Hazardous attitudes in US Part 121 airline accidents. 20th International Symposium on Aviation Psychology. 2019;37–42. Available at: https://corescholar.libraries.wright.edu/isap_2019/7.